Village of Mamaroneck Ethics Board Complaint No. 2023-3 March 18, 2024

Decision

The Complaint

The Ethics Board received a sworn complaint dated June 9, 2023 which alleged that the Village Manager, Jerry Barberio, authorized the hiring of a full-time employee who was the brother of village Trustee Leilani Yizar-Reid in violation of Code of Ethics section 21-4(1)(e), which prohibits such a hiring without a waiver approved by the Ethics Board. The complaint also expressed "concern" that the hiring "...has the appearance of a payback for [the trustee's] support of Mr. Barberio's salary increase."

Summary of the Findings of the Ethics Board

The Ethics Board conducted an investigation of the complaint. The board found:

- the Village Manager authorized the hiring of the trustee's brother as a Laborer with the Harbor Master's Department
- there had been no application for a waiver of the nepotism prohibition of the Code of Ethics prior to the employee's hiring
- the hiring constituted a violation of the nepotism section of the Code of Ethics
- although once he became an employee of the village, and was chargeable with knowledge of the Code of Ethics, the trustee's brother could fairly have assumed that a waiver of the nepotism section was obtained by a village official, as specified under section 21-15 of the Code of Ethics. When he was advised by the Ethics Board to request a waiver, the trustee's brother made such application
- although Trustee Yizar-Reid was chargeable with knowledge of the Code of Ethics, when she became aware that her brother had applied for the job of Laborer at the Harbor Master Department, she could have fairly assumed that a waiver of the nepotism section was obtained by a village official. Trustee Yizar-Reid was never under an obligation in this situation to request a waiver on behalf of her brother. When she was informed that a waiver was required, she made such a request to the Ethics Board
- The Ethics Board conducted interviews of the persons involved in the hiring of the trustee's brother and those associated with the complaint; examined emails and texts from the Village of Mamaroneck email accounts and mobile phones of the Village Manager, the trustee and the Harbor Master' and examined documents and records

relating to the hiring. The board found no evidence of the "concern" expressed in the complaint.

Subsequent to the hiring of the trustee's brother, he made a request of the Ethics Board for a waiver of the nepotism section. His request was supported by the Harbor Master, his department head. Based on its review of the procedure followed by the village's Human Resources office in the application process, the factfinding made in the investigation, and the interview of the employee and the Harbor Master, the board approved a post-hiring waiver of the nepotism section.

The Village Manager is no longer serving in his position and is on terminal leave. Under the Code of Ethics, the board could still bring a formal complaint against the Village Manager, but has decided to conclude its investigation with the stated findings of fact.

The board has decided not to bring a formal complaint for the following reasons:

- the Village Manager is now on terminal leave from his position
- to date the Ethics Board has expended several thousand dollars for 1) the cost of stenographic reporters making a record of interviews; 2) forensic technical services for the imaging of email accounts and mobile phone data; and 3) legal fees payable to the lawyers representing village employees who appeared before the board for interviews

If the board filed a formal complaint, it would incur additional costs equal to or greater than those already incurred for the same professional services, as well as possible fees for the issuance and enforcement of subpoenas and litigation arising from the enforcement of any penalties assessed, if a violation of the Code of Ethics were found.

The Investigation

The Complaint

The board received a sworn complaint dated June 9, 2023. The complaint alleged that:

- Village Manager Jerome Barberio violated Code of Ethics Nepotism section 21-4 E (1)
 (e), by hiring as a full-time employee the brother of Trustee Leilani Yizar-Reid, an elected official, and that
- The hiring of the trustee's brother gave "...the appearance of a conflict of interest insofar as Trustee Yizar-Reid was the individual who called a March 3, 2023 'emergency' meeting of the Board of Trustees, wherein the Board extended the contract of Mr. Barberio for 4 years at higher salaries in a backroom decision. The hiring of her brother, shortly thereafter, has the appearance of a payback for her support of Mr. Barberio's salary increase."

Code of Ethics section 21-15 provides that upon written application by a Village of Mamaroneck officer or employee and/or written approval by the employee's department head, the board may

grant a waiver of any provision of the Code of Ethics, with certain exceptions, if the board finds that a waiver would not be in conflict with the purpose and interest of the village.

Following the filing of the complaint, the Ethics Board received the following email from Trustee Yizar-Reid:

From: Leilani Yizar-Reid <lreid@vomny.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 5:50 PM
To: Karson, Dan <DKarson@vomny.net>

Cc: Thomas A. Murphy <tmurphy@vomny.org>

Subject: Nepotism Policy

Dear Chairman Karson:

I am an elected member of the Board of Trustees. I was sworn in on December 5, 2022. Many months after that date, my brother was hired on a full-time basis working for the VOM Harbor Master. I have become aware of Section 24-1 E. of the Ethics Code which states the Nepotism Policy.

Most importantly on learning about the policy stated in 24-1 E, I wanted to immediately disclose my brother's employment by the VOM. I was not involved in any way with his hiring, and I do not directly oversee him or his superior who reports to the Village Manager.

Section 21-4 R. advises to contact the Ethics Board with questions on application of the law, and Sections 21-5 regarding Waiver and 21-6 regarding Advisory Opinions may be applicable.

I am seeking advice on the applicability of the Ethics Code and its provisions on this matter and am available to discuss or answer any questions at your convenience. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Leilani Yizar-Reid

A reply was sent to Trustee Yizar-Reid informing her that a request for waiver would have to be made at this time by her brother. In a separate email, the board advised Trustee Yizar-Reid that she notify the Village Manager and Human Resources Director of the matter, including her request for a waiver.

On June 2, 2023, the trustee's brother emailed the Ethics Board requesting a waiver of the nepotism section, permitting his employment by the Harbor Master. The email included a letter from Harbor Master Jeff LaRusso endorsing the request for a waiver.

The Investigation

Following the receipt of the sworn complaint, the Ethics Board initiated an investigation. The investigation included 1) the conduct of interviews; 2) the forensic extraction and examination of selected Village of Mamaroneck email accounts; 3) the forensic extraction and examination of selected Village of Mamaroneck mobile telephones; and 4) the examination of relevant documents.

The Chronology, the Job Vacancy and the Hiring

The job of laborer at the Harbor Master Department became vacant on March 4, 2023. Upon the vacancy's occurrence, and according to the HR Director, HR followed its regular procedure by posting the job opening publicly on the Village's website. Following the posting, the village's clerk's office received six applications for the position, including that of the trustee's brother. The six applications were then forwarded to the Harbor Master. Of the six, the Harbor Master chose two applications for interviews, the trustee's brother and one other.

Following the interviews, the Harbor Master recommended to the HR Director that the trustee's brother be hired for the vacant position, and that the other applicant be hired for a part-time position that was open. The HR Director then informed the Village Manager of the Harbor Master's recommendation, and the Village Manager authorized the hiring of the trustee's brother.

Interviews

The Ethics Board conducted interviews of Village Manager Jerome "Jerry" Barberio, Trustee Leilani Yizar-Reid, the trustee's brother, Harbor Master Jeff LaRusso and HR Director Danielle Gilliard.

In each of the interviews conducted, the Ethics Board asked the persons interviewed whether they discussed, in any form of communication, an understanding that if the village hired the trustee's brother, that the trustee would support or vote to approve the Village Manager's contract. Each denied any knowledge or involvement in such discussion or agreement.

Jerry Barberio

On June 6, 2023, Mr. Barberio sent the following email to the distribution indicated:

From: Jerry Barberio <jbarberio@vomny.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2023 2:49 PM

To: Karson, Dan <DKarson@vomny.net>; Agostino Fusco <afusco@vomny.org>; Sally Roberts <sroberts@vomny.org>; Danielle Gilliard <dgilliard@vomny.org>

Cc: Allison, Chari Topol <CAllison@vomny.net>; Susan Berenzweig <berenzweig@gmail.com>; DeRose,

Maria <MDeRose@vomny.net>; Lauren Perone-Jones ljones@vomny.net>

Subject: Re: Waiver Request Section 21-4 E

Hi all,

I first met [the trustee's brother] and his wife [name deleted] on 2/17/23 when we met at the Regatta to discuss raising their house (flood mitigation) and trying to get documentation for available grant money to do so. They live on Lester and suffered significantly from Hurricane Ida. At that meeting, [the trustee's brother] mentioned that if there are any positions that come available to please keep him in mind since [The trustee's wife] is a MAS teacher and works locally. I also learned they have a small child and they want to provide a secure home for their family.

On March 4, 2023, [name deleted] Muller, the former Harbor Master laborer separated employment with the Village because he failed his probationary period, thereby opening up a position at the HM department. Generally, I do not interview or pick candidates for open positions if I do not interview them. I leave that up to the Department Head and the HR Director to recommend a candidate.

In our form of government, the Village Manager is the appointing authority for all Village employees except the C/T and the Police Chief. All other employees are hired and fired/released by me. I only hire the recommendations of the department head and HR Director and have been consistent in that process since day one. I was not asked to hire [the trustee's brother] or even interview him by anyone.

All that being said, if the Board wants to focus on who violated the code, you could only focus on me. If I did, I will face the appropriate consequences, however I also respectfully ask you strongly consider a waiver for [the trustee's brother] since he is local resident with local ties, a family man with a small child, and his wife is employed as a local teacher.

Thank you Mr. Karson and Board.

JΒ

Jerry Barberio

Village Manager
Village of Mamaroneck
123 Mamaroneck Avenue
Mamaroneck, NY 10543
Phone 914-777-7703
Fax 914-777-7760
E-mail jbarberio@vomny.org

Mr. Barberio was interviewed on October 30, 2023. He was represented by legal counsel.

In the course of his interview Mr. Barberio stated that he had become aware of the nepotism section of the Code of Ethics only when informed of it by the Ethics Board:

CHAIR KARSON: When did you 4 first become familiar or aware of the

5 section regarding nepotism? 6 MR. BARBERIO: I became

7 familiar when you sent me the email 8 outlining that section.

. . .

CHAIR KARSON: Is your

4 statement that prior to receiving

5 notification from me regarding an

6 allegation involving the nepotism

7 section, you were unaware of the

8 nepotism section of the Code of

9 Ethics?

10 MR. BARBERIO: That's correct.

When asked whether he had ever read the Code of Ethics, Mr. Barberio responded as follows:

CHAIR KARSON: When you became

12 village manager, were you provided

13 with a copy of the Code of Ethics?

14 MR. BARBERIO: Amongst other

15 documents, yes.

16 CHAIR KARSON: Did you sign an

17 acknowledgement of your receipt of

18 the Code of Ethics?

19 MR. BARBERIO: I signed the

20 acknowledgement of the new hire

21 packet. I don't recall if the Code

22 of Ethics was in there.

23 CHAIR KARSON: Did you ever

24 read the Code of Ethics?

25 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.

Page 8

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIR KARSON: As village

3 manager, you never read the Code of

4 Ethics?

5 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir. I had

6 no occasion to do so.

7 CHAIR KARSON: And you're

8 saying the first time you became

9 aware of the nepotism section is when

10 I either emailed or you wrote you a

11 letter informing you of the complaint

12 regarding the Code of Ethics or the

13 nepotism section?

14 MR. BARBERIO: That's correct.

Subsequent to the interview, the Ethics Board obtained a copy of the employment packet Mr. Barberio was issued on February 1, 2019, when he was hired as Village Manager. The packet included the Code of Ethics. It was signed by Mr. Barberio and witnessed by the Deputy Village Manager. Mr. Barberio's signature appears below an acknowledgement that "...you have

received and reviewed all the above information". The "above information" included the Village Code of Ethics, which was attached to the Acknowledgement.

When asked about the hiring of the trustee's brother, Mr. Barberio gave the following responses:

CHAIR KARSON: When did you

- 12 become aware that [the trustee's brother] was hired
- 13 for the position?
- 14 MR. BARBERIO: I became aware
- 15 that the Harbor Master and the HR
- 16 director wanted to hire [the trustee's brother]
- 17 after they interviewed two
- 18 candidates.

In response to further questions regarding the hiring of the trustee's brother, Mr. Barberio stated that after a vacancy occurred in the Harbor Master's department, a job opening was publicly posted. The Harbor Master and the HR Director conducted interviews of job applicants, and then sent a recommendation to Mr. Barberio that the trustee's brother be hired.

In response to questions as to whether he had had any discussion with Trustee Yizar-Reid regarding the hiring of her brother and the renewal of Mr. Barberio's contract, Mr. Barberio stated:

- 11 CHAIR KARSON: Did you ever
- 12 have a conversation with Trustee
- 13 Yizar-Reid regarding the renewal of
- 14 your employment contract with the
- 15 Village, the most recent renewal of
- 16 your employment contract with the
- 17 Village?
- 18 MS. BERG: You're saying
- 19 one-on-one?
- 20 CHAIR KARSON: A one-on-one
- 21 conversation.
- 22 MR. BARBERIO: Yes, I think --
- 23 I can't recall the date. It was
- 24 prior to my March 3rd renewal. She
- 25 wanted to know why I was leaving.
- Page 26
- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 CHAIR KARSON: And what did she
- 3 say to you and what did you say to
- 4 her?
- 5 MR. BARBERIO: I don't recall,
- 6 Mr. Karson, what she said to me, but
- 7 what I said to her was I'm done with
- 8 the games, I'm done with the
- 9 nonsense. Stuff like that.
- 10 CHAIR KARSON: And what did

- 11 Trustee Yizar-Reid say to you?
- 12 MR. BARBERIO: I can't recall.
- 13 CHAIR KARSON: Did Trustee
- 14 Yizar-Reid ever express to you her
- 15 opinion regarding retaining you as
- 16 village manager in a one-on-one
- 17 conversation?
- 18 MR. BARBERIO: If she did, I
- 19 can't recall what she said.
- 20 CHAIR KARSON: Did Trustee
- 21 Yizar-Reid inform you that she was
- 22 planning to call an emergency meeting
- 23 of the Board of Trustees for the
- 24 purpose of discussing your contract
- 25 as village manager?

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 MR. BARBERIO: No, I don't
- 3 think so. I can't recall if she did.
- 4 CHAIR KARSON: Did Trustee
- 5 Yizar-Reid ever mention to you,
- 6 either at the time your contract was
- 7 under discussion for review or at any
- 8 other time, the -- the subject of her
- 9 brother come into the subject of the
- 10 conversation?
- 11 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.
- 12 CHAIR KARSON: You never had
- 13 any conversation with Trustee
- 14 Yizar-Reid regarding her brother for
- 15 any reason whatsoever?
- 16 MR. BARBERIO: After he was
- 17 hired, but not before.
- 18 CHAIR KARSON: And after the
- 19 conversation in which -- in the
- 20 conversation which you stated you had
- 21 with Trustee Yizar-Reid in which you
- 22 informed her that the Village just
- 23 hired her brother, what else was said
- 24 during that conversation with [her brother]?

- 2 MR. BARBERIO: That's all I
- 3 said. I don't recall what Trustee
- 4 Yizar-Reid said.
- 5 CHAIR KARSON: Either prior to
- 6 or subsequent to that conversation,
- 7 did you have any conversation or,
- 8 again, be it an oral conversation, a
- 9 text, an email, written
- 10 correspondence, a communication of

- 11 any kind regarding [her brother's]
- 12 employment with the Village other
- 13 than your statement to her that the
- 14 Village had just hired her brother?
- 15 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir, not
- 16 that I can recall.
- 17 CHAIR KARSON: Did you in any
- 18 conversation of any kind, be it
- 19 email, text, letter, written
- 20 document, ever ask or discuss with
- 21 Trustee Yizar-Reid her support or
- 22 vote in your favor for the renewal of
- 23 your contract?
- 24 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.
- 25 MS. PERRONE-JONES: Can you Page 29

1

- 2 describe the circumstances under
- 3 which that conversation came up, the
- 4 one between you and Trustee
- 5 Yizar-Reid with regard to you saying
- 6 that you had just hired her brother?
- 7 Like what brought that up, what were
- 8 the circumstances around that?
- 9 MR. BARBERIO: Lauren, I think
- 10 it was we were passing each other in
- 11 the hallway. She uses the conference
- 12 room as like a work room sometimes
- 13 and maybe we're just passing each
- 14 other. It wasn't -- it wasn't a
- 15 sit-down or anything of that nature.
- 16 MS. PERRONE-JONES: Okay.
- 17 CHAIR KARSON: Putting Trustee
- 18 Yizar-Reid aside, did you ever have
- 19 any communication of any kind with
- 20 anyone besides Trustee Yizar-Reid
- 21 regarding -- and aside from Ms.
- 22 Gilliard informing you that he had
- 23 been hired, did you ever have any
- 24 communication of any kind with anyone
- 25 else regarding the hiring of

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 [the trustee's brother's] application for
- 3 employment or his hiring?
- 4 MR. BARBERIO: Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIR KARSON: And please
- 6 describe what that was.
- 7 MR. BARBERIO: Mayor Murphy
- 8 comes to my office several times a
- 9 week. And I don't recall the date,

- 10 but I told the Mayor that Jeff
- 11 LaRusso hired [the trustee's brother] to be the new
- 12 laborer.
- 13 CHAIR KARSON: And what did
- 14 Mayor Murphy state in response?
- 15 MR. BARBERIO: I don't think
- 16 anything, but I don't recall exactly.
- 17 CHAIR KARSON: And what was the
- 18 reason for your mentioning that to
- 19 the Mayor?
- 20 MR. BARBERIO: The Mayor comes
- 21 into my office and asks -- will ask
- 22 me what's going on today, what
- 23 happened today.
- 24 CHAIR KARSON: Do you recall
- 25 when this conversation took place?

1

- 2 MR. BARBERIO: It must have
- 3 been after I signed the paperwork.
- 4 CHAIR KARSON: Did the Mayor
- 5 say anything to you regarding the
- 6 need to get a waiver for the hiring
- 7 of [the trustee's brother]?
- 8 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.
- 9 CHAIR KARSON: Did anyone
- 10 communicate to you the necessity of
- 11 obtaining the waiver for the hiring
- 12 of [the trustee's brother]?
- 13 MR. BARBERIO: Only the email
- 14 that came from you and the Board.
- 15 CHAIR KARSON: Did the Mayor at
- 16 any time prior to [his] hiring
- 17 have a conversation with you in which
- 18 [the trustee's brother] name came up for any
- 19 reason?
- 20 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.
- 21 CHAIR KARSON: Did anyone --
- 22 again, putting aside the conversation
- 23 you may have had or you had with
- 24 Trustee Yizar-Reid, and the Mayor,
- 25 and the Harbor Master, and Ms.

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 Gilliard, did anyone communicate to
- 3 you any request, comment whatsoever
- 4 regarding the hiring of [the trustee's brother]?
- 5 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.
- 6 CHAIR KARSON: Did anyone
- 7 suggest to you -- ever suggest to you
- 8 in any form of communication that

9 hiring [the trustee's brother] would be a good idea

10 for purposes of assisting in the

11 approval of your contract?

12 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.

13 CHAIR KARSON: Did you ever

14 have a conversation with Trustee

15 Yizar-Reid in which you suggested

16 that you would hire [the trustee's brother] in

17 consideration for her approval of the

18 renewal of your contract?

19 MR. BARBERIO: No, sir.

20 MS. ALLISON: Can I just ask a

21 quick question? In this whole

22 process of hiring [the trustee's brother] and

23 people knowing that his sister is an

24 elected official, nobody, not anybody

25 not one time said oh, this could be

Page 33

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 construed as nepotism? Never?

3 MR. BARBERIO: Never. Until I

4 received a communication from you.

Subsequent to the interview, the Ethics Board obtained from the village Human Resources office a copy of the employment packet Mr. Barberio was issued on February 1, 2019, when he was hired as Village Manager. The packet included the Code of Ethics. It was signed by Mr. Barberio and witnessed by the Deputy Village Manager. Mr. Barberio's signature appears below an acknowledgement that "...you have received and reviewed all the above information". The "above information" included the Village Code of Ethics, which was attached to the Acknowledgement. The Deputy Village Manager confirmed to the Ethics Board that he signed the document.

Trustee Yizar-Reid was interviewed on October 24, 2023. She was represented by legal counsel. She stated that she was not aware that her brother had applied for the vacant job at the Harbor Master.

CHAIR KARSON: Were you aware

14 prior to your brother's employment by

15 the Harbor Master that he had applied

16 for a job with the Harbor Master?

17 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

18 CHAIR KARSON: Were you aware

19 after you became a trustee that there

20 were job openings with the Harbor

21 Master?

22 MS. YIZAR-REID: No, not until

23 [my brother] had told me.

24 CHAIR KARSON: When did he tell

25 you?

Page 22

2 MS. YIZAR-REID: Around the

3 time he applied, he said that he

4 applied for a job.

5 CHAIR KARSON: And after he

6 told you that he had applied for a

7 job with the Harbor Master, did you

8 call anyone, talk to anyone --

9 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

10 CHAIR KARSON: -- or email or

11 text anyone to -- in which the

12 subject of that communication was

13 your brother's application for

14 employment with the Harbor Master?

15 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

16 CHAIR KARSON: No?

17 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

18 CHAIR KARSON: You were sworn

19 into office as a Village Trustee on

20 December 5th, 2022, when you were --

21 at that time you recall being

22 provided with a copy of the code of

23 ethics?

24 MS. YIZAR-REID: Yes.

Trustee Yizar-Reid stated that she had had no conversations or communications with Mr. Barberio or anyone else regarding her brother's application for a job.

CHAIR KARSON: And after he

6 told you that he had applied for a

7 job with the Harbor Master, did you

8 call anyone, talk to anyone --

9 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

10 CHAIR KARSON: -- or email or

11 text anyone to -- in which the

12 subject of that communication was

13 your brother's application for

14 employment with the Harbor Master?

15 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

16 CHAIR KARSON: No?

CHAIR KARSON: Any other

25 questions? I would just like to make

2 clear by probably repeating some

3 questions I already asked you which

4 is prior to the -- the first time.

5 Is it your -- are you saying that the

6 very first time you learned that your

7 brother was hired by the Harbor

8 Master was when Jerry Barberio told

9 you I just hired your brother or

10 words to that effect?

11 MS. YIZAR-REID: Yes, it was

- 12 like a -- like a passerby
- 13 conversation.
- 14 CHAIR KARSON: Prior to that
- 15 time, did you have any conversation
- 16 with Jerry Barberio regarding your
- 17 brother being hired by the Village in
- 18 any capacity?
- 19 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.
- 20 CHAIR KARSON: Did you have a
- 21 conversation with anyone in Village
- 22 government, elected, appointed
- 23 regarding your brother's application
- 24 for employment in the Village?
- 25 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 CHAIR KARSON: Did you have any
- 3 discussion with Jerry Barberio,
- 4 regarding any consideration for your
- 5 effort to execute his contract,
- 6 meaning at any time did you have a
- 7 conversation in which Jerry Barberio
- 8 either promised you something or
- 9 assured you that he would give
- 10 something to you or do something for
- 11 you in exchange for approval of his
- 12 contract?
- 13 MS. YIZAR-REID: No. And I
- 14 wouldn't even take it, honestly. I
- 15 can't. I would quit this job before
- 16 I do something like that.
- 17 CHAIR KARSON: Your answer is
- 18 no?
- 19 MS. YIZAR-REID: No,
- 20 wholeheartedly, no.
- 21 CHAIR KARSON: Did you ever ask
- 22 or seek assistance from any employee,
- 23 elected or not elected, in Village
- 24 government to gain employment for
- 25 your brother with the Village?

Page 52

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 MS. YIZAR-REID: No.

Trustee Yizar-Reid stated that she exercised her authority to call an emergency meeting of the Board of Trustee, out of her concern that the Village Manager's contract was not being addressed, and that the village could lose the Village Manager.

MS. BERENZWEIG: You need to 25 come to a resolution. What were you Page 29

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 hoping was going to come out of that
- 3 meeting?
- 4 MS. YIZAR-REID: I was -- in
- 5 terms of what are we going to do
- 6 about Jerry's behavior, in terms of
- 7 trying to resign, and also how are we
- 8 coming together as a board, because
- 9 we literally couldn't even make a
- 10 decision. And this is something that
- 11 was in executive session -- I can't
- 12 remember the dates, but it was the
- 13 executive session right before. So
- 14 and -- and we couldn't come up with a
- 15 decision.
- 16 MS. BERENZWEIG: Decision --
- 17 MS. YIZAR-REID: In terms of
- 18 Jerry's contract because that was in
- 19 executive session, and literally
- 20 going back and forth and arguing and
- 21 not having -- I don't know if you
- 22 know our board now. There's a lot of
- 23 animosity constantly happening and it
- 24 just funnels back into almost every
- 25 decision we make.

Page 30

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 MS. BERENZWEIG: Right, so an
- 3 executive decision -- you were
- 4 thinking that an executive session
- 5 would be an emergency session.
- 6 MS. YIZAR-REID: Yes, in my
- 7 head.
- 8 MS. BERENZWEIG: Would solve
- 9 the conflicts you thought.
- 10 MS. YIZAR-REID: It was to
- 11 solve the conflicts within our board.
- 12 MS. BERENZWEIG: What were you
- 13 hoping was going to happen at the end
- 14 of the -- at that meeting?
- 15 MS. YIZAR-REID: That we could
- 16 come to a decision of, one, how to
- 17 respond to Jerry. It wasn't
- 18 necessarily to sign a contract, but
- 19 it was to have a discussion about how
- 20 are we moving forward, how are we
- 21 moving forward as a board. And I was
- 22 really upset that why was this
- 23 something that waited so long. It is
- 24 March. I came in in December. He
- 25 was requesting this in a previous

```
Page 31
```

- 2 board.
- 3 MS. BERENZWEIG: Right.
- 4 MS. YIZAR-REID: Why --
- 5 MS. BERENZWEIG: When you say
- 6 this, what --
- 7 MS. YIZAR-REID: His contract.
- 8 MS. BERENZWEIG: Was he
- 9 requesting an extension, or more
- 10 money or what specifically?
- 11 MS. YIZAR-REID: I don't know.
- 12 MS. BERENZWEIG: You don't
- 13 know?
- 14 MS. YIZAR-REID: That was a
- 15 previous board.
- 16 MS. BERENZWEIG: Because it was
- 17 before you?
- 18 MS. YIZAR-REID: But I do know
- 19 from that executive session, I do
- 20 know he was requesting something from
- 21 a previous board that should have
- 22 been taken care of.
- 23 MS. BERENZWEIG: That they
- 24 wouldn't give him.
- $25~MS.~YIZAR\mbox{-REID};$ I don't know.

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 I wasn't there. So that was my
- 3 issue. That's why I called the
- 4 meeting. You literally have a
- 5 village manager who is about to quit,
- 6 who wants to quit, who's like give
- 7 him any day, any hour and he will
- 8 quit, and yet still our board can't
- 9 come together as a board. That to me
- 10 is a problem and that's why I called
- 11 the meeting.

Harbor Master Jeff La Russo was interviewed on November 29, 2023 and was represented by legal counsel. In his interview the Harbor Master stated that he sought to fill a vacancy in his department following the dismissal of an employee for cause. He confirmed that he had written the letter to the Ethics Board requesting that the trustee's brother be given a waiver of the nepotism section of the Code of Ethics.

He gave the following answers to questions:

Didyoureceiveother 4résumés forthe position? 5 MR. LaRUSSO: Ireceived two 6résumés. 7 M S . B E R E N Z W E I G : Didyoumean 8 twoothersortwointotal? 9 M R . La R U S S O : Twointotal.

In fact, Mr. La Russo had received six resumes for the position. On March 6, 2023, Susan Albanese, then an Intermediate Clerk in the Clerk-Treasurer's office sent an email to Mr. LaRusso forwarding six resumes received by the village in response to the posting of the vacant position. Mr. LaRusso responded to the email in the same email string on April 3, stating that he wanted to interview two of the six applicants. One was the trustee's brother.¹

Mr. LaRusso interviewed both applicants in person. When asked how he evaluated the candidate's qualifications, he stated as follows:

CHAIRKARSON: How would you
3 distinguish the qualifications -- the
4 difference in qualifications between
5 [the trustee's brother] and [the other applicant]?
6 MR. LaRUSSO: [the trustee's brother] had
7 carpentry experience, he's beento
8 tradeschool for carpentry and worked
9 for a builder building homes and did
10 alot of work with flooring and
11 decking, which is when we build docks
12 is what we're doing. So he had a lot
13 more experience in that as pectand
14 using hand tools and stuff of that
15 nature.

Mr. LaRusso stated that following the interviews, he hired the trustee's brother to the vacant position and hired the other applicant to a seasonal position.

When asked about whether he had had any communication with the Village Manager or anyone else regarding the hiring of the trustee's brother, Mr. LaRusso stated:

CHAIRKARSON: Aside from the
19application that [the trustee's brother[made for
20theposition, didanyonemention to
21you [the trustee's brother's] nameasapossible
22applicant for the job?
23MR.LaRUSSO: No.
24CHAIRKARSON: Didyouever
25haveaconversationorcommunication
Page 20
1PROCEEDINGS
2--when Irefertocommunication I'm

¹ The Ethics Board later sent a copy of the email exchange and the transcript of Mr. LaRusso's interview to his lawyer, and asked, through the board's counsel, whether Mr. LaRusso wished to amend his answer to the question. No request to do so was received.

```
3 referring to an in-person
4 conversation, at elephone
5 conversation, anemail, atext,
6 anything that constitutes a
7 communication between two people.
8 Didanyonespeaktoyouor
9 c o m m u n i c a t e w i t h y o u r e g a r d i n g t h e
1 0 h i r i n g o f [the trustee's brother] o t h e r t h a n [the trustee' brother]?
12 M R . L a R U S S O : N o .
13CHAIRKARSON: Didanyone
1 4 i n s t r u c t y o u t o h i r e [the trustee's brother]?
15 MR. LaRUSSO: No.
16CHAIRKARSON: Didyouknow
17 [the trustee's brother] priortothetimehe
18 applied for the job?
19 MR. LaRUSSO: Ididnot.
20CHAIRKARSON: Whenwasthe
2 1 firsttimeyoumet [the trustee's brother]?
22 MR. LaRUSSO: Intheinterview.
2 3 C H A I R K A R S O N : A f t e r [the trustee's brother]
24 was formally hired and informed that
25 hewashired, whenwasthenexttime
Page 21
1 P R O C E E D I N G S
2thatyousawhim.
3 MR. LaRUSSO: Hisfirstday of
4 work.
5 C H A I R K A R S O N : A fterhew as
6 appointed to the job, didy ouh ave
7 any communication of any kind with
8 a n y o n e r e g a r d i n g t h e h i r i n g o f [the trustee's brother]?
10 M R . L a R U S S O : No.
11CHAIRKARSON: Didyouever
12 have a conversation with trustee
13 Leilani Yizar - Reid, hissister
14 r e g a r d i n g t h e h i r i n g o f [her brother]?
15 MR. LaRUSSO: No.
16CHAIRKARSON: Didyouever
17 have a conversation with Mr. Barberio
18 regardingthehiring of [the trustee's brother]?
19 MR. LaRUSSO: No.
20CHAIRKARSON: Nonewhatsoever?
21 MR. LaRUSSO: No.
22CHAIRKARSON: Nocommunication
23 of anykind?
24 M R . L a R U S S O : No.
CHAIRKARSON: Doyouknowwho
3 Leilani Yizar - Reidis?
4 M R . L a R U S S O : Y e s .
```

5 CHAIRKARSON: Whoisshe?

6 MR. LaRUSSO: She's atrustee. 7 CHAIRKARSON: Atthetime 8 [her brother] washired, wereyouaware 9thatLeilaniYizar-Reidwasa 10trustee? 11MR.LaRUSSO:Iknewthatshe 12 was a trustee. I did notk now that 13theywererelated. 14CHAIRKARSON: Areyouaware 15 nowthat --16 MR. LaRUSSO: Now, yes. 17CHAIRKARSON: -- that they are 18brotherandsister? 19 MR. LaRUSSO: Yes. 20CHAIRKARSON: Butyouwerenot 21 aware of that fact at the time that 2 2 y o u h i r e d [her brother]? 23 MR. LaRUSSO: Notatthetime. 24 M R. NOTO: Again, hedidn't 25 hirehim. Ijustwanttobeclear. Page 24 1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 MS.BERENZWEIG: Interviewed. 3 MS. ALLISON: Interviewed. 4 M S . B E R E N Z W E I G : R e c o m m e n d e d . 5 CHAIRKARSON: I will rephrase 6 m y q u e s t i o n . A t t h e t i m e [the trustee's brother] 7 washiredbytheVillage. 8 I would like to have this 9 documentmarkedas Exhibit 2, please. 10 (Whereupon, a Village of 11 Mamaroneckannualdisclosure 12 statement for calendary ear 2023 was 13 markedas Exhibit 2 for 14identification as of this date by the 15 Reporter.) 16CHAIRKARSON: Mr. La Russo, 17 priortothisinterview, and a side 18 from conversations you've had with 19 Mr. Noto, didyouhaveany 20 conversations with Jerry Barberio 21regarding your interview to night at 22theEthicsBoard? 23 MR. LaRUSSO: No. 24CHAIRKARSON: Didyouhaveany 25 conversation or communication with Page 25 1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 any employee of the villageregarding 3 your meeting with the Ethics Board?

4 M R . L a R U S S O : O n l y o n e w a s

5 Danielle. Ijustletherknowthat I
6 hadtocometonight.
7 C H A I R K A R S O N: A side from that
8 conversation with Danielle Gilliard
9 - Gilliardiswholassumeyou're
10 referringto?
11 M R. La R U S S O: Yes.
12 C H A I R K A R S O N: Didyouhaveany
13 conversation with anyoneelse
14 regarding your interview?
M R. La R U S S O: No.

Village Human Resources Director Danielle Gilliard was interviewed on January 10, 2024. She provided the details regarding procedures for hiring employees to fill open job positions with the village.

CHAIR KARSON: Would you 16 describe the regular process for 17 filling a vacancy, a job vacancy at a 18 village department when a vacancy 19 occurs? 20 MS. GILLIARD: Sure. So a 21 department notifies me that they have 22 an opening. Usually I'm aware 23 because I handle all of the paperwork 24 for hires, separations, retirements, 25 so I understand the flow when an Page 7 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 opening is going to happen. And once 3 the supervisor says that they are 4 ready to fill an opening, I get 5 approval from the village manager to 6 post the job. I'll do a job posting, 7 standard language, including 8 requirements, and I will email it to 9 all my department heads, copying the 10 person that's going to be collecting 11 the responses asking that it's posted 12 in an area where all employees can 13 see it. I then give it to my public 14 information officer, who's Robert 15 Ingenito, who will post it on the 16 village's website. I put copies in 17 my office, I put copies in the break 18 room. Then I also email a copy to 19 the community resource center. 20 CHAIR KARSON: And after that 21 process is completed, what is the --

22 when there are responses to the

- 23 posting of a job, what is the next
- 24 thing that happens when the village
- 25 receives these various applications? Page 8
- 2 MS. GILLIARD: Sure. So there
- 3 is a person that's designated to
- 4 collect the responses. It's not me.
- 5 So at the time it was Susan Albanese.
- 6 So the responses went to her. She
- 7 collects them, she watches the
- 8 deadline. It's a ten-day posting,
- 9 especially for a union position, and
- 10 it's her responsibility to email all
- 11 the applications to me, the
- 12 supervisor, and depending upon the
- 13 role, sometimes the manager and/or
- 14 the assistant manager.

Ms. Gilliard confirmed that the office received six applications in response to the posted notice of the job.

Ms. Gilliard also stated that she recalled seeing trustee's brother's application as one of those submitted, and that she was aware that the applicant was the trustee's brother. When asked whether aside from the application submitted by the trustee's brother, anyone had mentioned him to her in connection with the job. Ms. Gilliard said that Trustee Yizar-Reid had done so:

CHAIR KARSON: Ms. Gilliard, in

- 8 the following questions I'm going to
- 9 refer to anyone communicating with
- 10 you regarding the job with the harbor
- 11 master and the hiring of [the trustee's brother.]
- 12 By the word communicating I mean in
- 13 any form: Face-to-face conversation,
- 14 telephone, email, text, other
- 15 electronic means, writing, or any
- 16 other form of communication, so if I
- 17 say communicate, talk, write,
- 18 whatever, I'm referring to any kind
- 19 of contact.
- 20 Aside from the application that
- 21 [the trustee's brother] submitted for the job, did
- 22 anyone mention [the trustee's brother] to you
- 23 regarding the job at the harbor
- 24 master?
- 25 MS. GILLIARD: Leilani
- 6 (Pages 18 21)
- Page 22
- 2 Yizar-Reid did. She indicated that
- 3 her brother submitted an application,
- 4 and she said that it was very nice

5 when I accepted it, so he turned in

6 his application, he handed it to me

7 and I just gave it to Susan.

8 CHAIR KARSON: Was that before

9 or after he was hired?

10 MS. GILLIARD: It was before.

11 CHAIR KARSON: And can you

12 recall the conversation?

13 MS. GILLIARD: It was just that

14 she said that -- my brother says

15 you're really nice, and I said oh

16 okay, and I was like okay.

17 CHAIR KARSON: Did she make any

18 comment regarding his qualifications

19 for the job?

20 MS. GILLIARD: No.

21 CHAIR KARSON: Did she ask you

22 to hire him?

23 MS. GILLIARD: No.

Ms. Gilliard further stated that the decision to recommend the trustee's brother to be hired was made after she and Mr. LaRusso had interviewed the two applicants selected from the six resumes submitted.

CHAIR KARSON: How was the

25 decision made to offer the job to [the trustee's brother]

Page 23

1 PROCEEDINGS

3 MS. GILLIARD: Sure. So after

4 Jeff LaRusso and I interviewed the

5 two candidates, we spoke about the

6 openings that we had, and he

7 indicated that [trustee's brother] would be --

8 because we had two positions

9 available. So he wanted Mr. -- he

10 actually recommended [the trustee's brother] for

11 the full-time opportunity and Luciano

12 for the seasonal role. So when the

13 supervisor is interested in a

14 candidate, I have to present the

15 candidate to Jerry Barberio, who is

16 the hiring manager

When asked whether she was aware of the Code of Ethics section prohibiting nepotism, Ms. Gilliard gave the following answer:

CHAIR KARSON: I will direct

23 your attention to -- if you flip open

24 the first page you'll see a yellow

25 highlighted paragraph and that's the

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

Page 30

2 section 24-E1 E which is -- which

3 states that "where one of the parties

4 is an elected official, except when

5 the family member is currently

6 employed by the village prior to the

7 elected official obtaining office, or

8 if the family member is currently

9 employed by the village and becomes a

10 family member of an official, an

11 employee's relative will not be

12 employed by the village on a

13 full-time basis."

14 Were you aware at the time that

15 if [the trustee's brother] was hired that could be

16 a violation of the code of ethics?

17 MS. GILLIARD: No.

18 CHAIR KARSON: And did you sign

19 an acknowledgement when you became an

20 employee of the Village of Mamaroneck

21 of having received and read the code

22 of ethics?

23 MS. GILLIARD: So what I

24 received was kind of a summary of

25 some policies that was presented to Page 31

2 me. And I wasn't hired as the HR

3 director, I was hired in another

4 capacity, so this was what I was

5 given --

6 CHAIR KARSON: Would you hand

7 that to the stenographer. And you

8 can mark that as Exhibit 4, please.

9 MS. GILLIARD: So I wasn't

10 given -- I was given policies, but I

11 didn't have to sign-off on that

12 specific -

[exhibits market – relevant questions continued:]

CHAIR KARSON: Exhibit 4 states

8 that you received the code of ethics.

9 MS. GILLIARD: Mm-hmm, when I

10 was hired.

11 CHAIR KARSON: And did you read

12 the code of ethics?

13 MS. GILLIARD: At the time,

14 yes.

15 CHAIR KARSON: And the code of

16 ethics contained the nepotism clause?

- 17 You don't recall?
- 18 MS. GILLIARD: I don't recall.
- 19 But I did -- you know.
- 20 CHAIR KARSON: So when [the trustee's brother]was hired, did you recall
- 22 anything regarding the code of ethics
- 23 and its --
- 24 MS. GILLIARD: No, I did not.
- 25 CHAIR KARSON: So you did not

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 recall or did not know that his
- 3 hiring could involve a violation of
- 4 the code of ethics?
- 5 MS. GILLIARD: No.

. . .

- the record, we have that.
- 12 After [the trustee's brother] was hired, did
- 13 it come to your attention at any time
- 14 that there was a question as to
- 15 whether his hiring violated the code
- 16 of ethics.
- 17 MS. GILLIARD: Probably months
- 18 later, like beginning of the summer.
- 19 CHAIR KARSON: How did you
- 20 learn of it?
- 21 MS. GILLIARD: I think [the
- 22 trustee's brother] came to my office and said
- 23 that there was a problem.
- 24 CHAIR KARSON: You first heard
- 25 about it from [the trustee's brother?]

- 2 MS. GILLIARD: Yes.
- 3 CHAIR KARSON: Did you have a
- 4 conversation with anyone else
- 5 regarding the hiring of [the trustee's brother] in
- 6 connection with the code of ethics?
- 7 MS. GILLIARD: No.
- 8 CHAIR KARSON: Did you ever
- 9 have a conversation with Jerry
- 10 Barberio regarding [trustee's brother's] -- the
- 11 hiring possibly violating the code of
- 12 ethics?
- 13 MS. GILLIARD: Once [the trustee's brother]
- 14 came to me, yeah, because again, I
- 15 was unaware, especially because Jerry
- 16 was the hiring manager. I wasn't
- 17 aware.
- 18 CHAIR KARSON: Would you state
- 19 what the content of that conversation
- 20 was?
- 21 MS. GILLIARD: I don't really

```
22 recall. It's more like [the trustee's brother]
```

- 23 came to my office and indicated that
- 24 he needed a waiver and I didn't know
- 25 what he meant by that.

2 CHAIR KARSON: What did Mr.

3 Barberio say?

4 MS. GILLIARD: I don't think he

5 really said anything. Because I

6 wasn't directly asked to do anything,

7 so I just kind of was -- I didn't

8 know what to do.

9 CHAIR KARSON: Did you have a

10 conversation with Mr. LaRusso about

11 it?

12 MS. GILLIARD: I gave -- I did

13 have a conversation with Mr. LaRusso.

14 I'm not sure at what point. But

15 again, it was after [the trustee's brother] came to

16 my office. I don't recall the

17 timeframe.

18 CHAIR KARSON: Do you recall

19 what that conversation was?

20 MS. GILLIARD: He wasn't aware

21 that he did something wrong and I was

22 like I'm not aware either.

23 CHAIR KARSON: Mr. LaRusso said

24 he was not aware?

25 MS. GILLIARD: Well, Mr.

Page 45

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 LaRusso was unaware of a waiver or --

3 he was just unaware of this, that

4 there was an issue.

The board interviewed the trustee's brother] on November 29, 2023. He stated that he learned of the availability of job at the Harbor Master because he regularly checks the village's website for job openings. He stated that he had met Village Manager Barberio and other village officials after his home and neighborhood were damaged in the flood by Hurricane Ida. He said that he met with village officials in discussions about obtaining a FEMA grant for the damage incurred.

Aside from those meetings, Trustee's brother said that he never had any conversation with Mr. Barberio or any other person regarding a job with the Harbor Master, other than communications with the village about his application for the open position.

Haveyouever 13 metJerry Barberio? 14 ... Yes. 15 CHAIRKARSON: Whendidyou 16 first meet Jerry Barberio?

17 ...: FirstmetJerry 18BarberioaftertheHurricaneIda 19flood. Mywife and Ilivein the 20 village, in Washington ville section 21 of Mamaroneck, across the street from 22 Mamaroneckschool, and wewere 23floodedprettybad. Andworkingwith 24thevillageandFEMAtryingto 25 receive a grant, we had to meet with Page 9 2 Dan Sarn offan dJerry Barberio I 3 believeanum beroftimes. 4 C H A I R K A R S O N : A tanytime when 5 you attended ameeting with Mr. 6 Barberio or Mr. Sarn off, was there 7 evermediscussionaboutobtaining a 8 job with the Village? 9.: No, this was 10 strictly business on flood matters. 11Iwasn'teventhinkingaboutworking 12 atthattime. Ihadafull-timejob 13 atthattime. Unfortunate events, 14 y o u k n o w, t u m b l e - w e e d i n g, r o l l i n g, 15 just landed meworking with the 16 village which just worked out in my 17 favor. 18CHAIRKARSON: Didyoueverat 19 any time have a conversation with 20 Jerry Barberiore garding the jobat 21theHarborMaster? 22 .: No. 23CHAIRKARSON: Didyouever 24 have a conversation with trustee 25 Yizar - Reidregardingthejobasa Page 10 2 Harbor Master? $3 \dots : No$. 4 C H A I R K A R S O N : Didyouever 5 haveaconversation with any employee 6 of the Village of Mamaroneck 7 regarding the job at the Harbor 8 Master? 9 ...: No. 10CHAIRKARSON: Otherthan --11asidefromtheinterviewwithMs. 12 Gilliard and Mr. La Russo? 13.:No. 14CHAIRKARSON: Byconversation 15 Imean -- I'mreferringtoanykind 16 of communication, email, text, 17letter, telephonecall, in-person

18conversation, didyoueverhaveany 19kindofconversationregardingyour 20 employmentwiththeHarborMaster 21 with Mr. Barberio, Mr. Sarnoff, or 22 any employee of the Village of 23 Mamaroneck prior to the time you 24appliedforthejob? 25.:Iwasnotifiedthat Page 11 2 I wasn't getting the Parksjob. 3 That's pretty much mynotification. 4CHAIRKARSON: I'mreferringto 5the Harbor Masterposition. 6...: No, nottothe 7 Harbor Master. And before I applied 8 at the Parks I applied with DPW as 9 well. Ireally didn'treceive any 10 notification backonthatatall, 11likeanything. 12 MS. DeROSE: Woulditbefair 13tosaythatyouregularlycheckthe 14 Village's websites for openpositions 15 and applied for where you thought 16 you'dbeagoodfit. 17.:Yes.I'm sureall 18 of youliveherein Mamaroneck. It's 19 notcheap. Working prettymuch 20 part-timejobisnotfittingtosuit 21 a familyin - - or a house herein 22 Mamaroneck, so I was constantly 23 regularly checking. 24CHAIRKARSON: Imayhaveasked 25 youthis before, but when you were Page 12 2 notified that you were going to be 3 hiredbythe Harbor Master, what form 4 didth at notification take? 5 ... : Aphonecallfrom 6 Danielle. Atthesametime I was 7 applying to Harrison, Scarsdale, Town 8 of Mamaroneck. It was just one of 9thosethings. Whenwasthe

When was the
12 last time you had a conversation or
13 communication of any kind with
14 Mr. Barberio?
15...: I'm part of a flood
16 committee. We talk every other
17 Friday regarding flood matters. It's
18 via Zoom. I want to say 12 other

19 people involved on that Zoom.

The Forensic Examination of VOM Emails and Texts

In seeking any evidence of a connection between the renewal of the Village Manager's contract and the hiring of the trustee's brother, the board retained a digital forensics firm to image selected portions of the Village of Mamaroneck email accounts and village-issued mobile telephones of Mr. Barberio, Trustee Yizar-Reid and Harbor Master LaRusso.

With the cooperation of the village's IT department, the forensics firm imaged the vomny.org email accounts of the Village Manager, the trustee and the Harbor Master. The imaging included any deleted email communications which were retained in the cloud network. Following procedures standard in the legal and investigative professions for email review, the board supplied Repario with search terms that would best indicate whether there had been any agreement consonant with the content of the complaint. The Ethics Board was provided with access to the dedicated server that uploaded the emails and searched the database under the instruction of the firm's technicians.

The forensics firm was instructed to recover all emails, and then narrow down those communications between the period January 2023 to December 2023. After downloading emails from the village server and imaging texts from the mobile phones, the board utilized the search terms to determine if there were emails or texts that suggested or reflected discussions about the relevant subjects of the investigation.

The imaging of the VOM server produced 88,422 emails - 67,630 for Mr. Barberio; 9,776 for Mr. La Russo; and 11,016 for Trustee Yizar-Reid.

The Ethics Board worked with the forensics firm in utilizing the platform created to read the emails and mark those that were responsive to the issues in the investigation.

The email review disclosed one thread, referenced above, that contradicted the testimony of the Harbor Master, regarding the number of applications received for the Laborer position. No other communications relevant to the investigation were found in the email analysis. The Ethics Board found no indications or evidence of an agreement between or among any of the persons interviewed that if the trustee's brother was hired by the village, the trustee would vote to approve the village manager's contract extension.

The Ethics Board then conducted a forensic investigation of phone texts and voicemails.² The board requested that Mr. Barberio, Trustee Yizar-Reid and Mr. LaRusso produce their Village of Mamaroneck issued cell phones for phone data recovery. The forensic firm was instructed to recover all texts and voicemails and the Ethics Board narrowed down the dates from January 1, 2023 to July 1, 2023.

² The board was informed by the forensics firm that to synchronize the iPhone with the Cloud would have required the user to choose those options on their device. None of the three phones were synced to the Cloud or other devices.

From the review of these three mobile phones, the Ethics Board found no responsive communications on Mr. LaRusso's or Trustee Yizar-Reid's phones. The Board found that there were much fewer text/call data on Mr. Barberio's VOM phone between the dates of March 2022 and August 2023. The forensics firm confirmed that this was an indication that data during that period of time was not necessarily deleted, but that the phone may not have been used for all work calls and texts. Mr. Barberio was asked if he had or has had more than one Village of Mamaroneck issued phone to which he replied that he did not. The forensics firm indicated that Mr. Barberio's current VOM issued phone was bought in March 2023 and had its data migrated from another device. It should also be noted that the data on these phones were not synced with the Cloud or with other devices. Therefore, if data was deleted, it would be unrecoverable.

Again, the Ethics Board examined the content of the mobile phones utilizing selected search terms and under the guidance of Repario technicians. No indications or evidence of an agreement were found.³

Findings

Based upon the interviews conducted, its review of relevant documents and of the emails and phone contents it examined, the Ethics Board finds as follows:

- In authorizing the hiring of the trustee's brother, the Village Manager violated section 21-4(E)(1)(e) of the Code of Ethics.
- Assuming the statements made in their interviews were truthful, the board makes the following findings regarding the duties and responsibilities to observe the Code of Ethics provisions:
 - the Village Manager and HR Director should have been aware of the nepotism section of the Code of Ethics and should have initiated a request for a waiver
 - the trustee's brother was not chargeable with knowledge of the Code of Ethics until he became an employee of the village on April 17, 2024. Although he was responsible for such knowledge upon becoming an employee, he could fairly have assumed that a waiver on his behalf was sought and obtained. Upon learning that a waiver was required, he made application for a waiver on June 2, 2024.
 - trustee Yizar-Reid was not under a duty in this situation to apply for a waiver of the Code of Ethics on behalf of her brother. She was responsible for knowledge of the Code of Ethics upon her assumption of office in December 2022. However, even assuming that she was chargeable with knowledge of the nepotism section of the code, when she learned of her brother's employment by the village, she fairly could have assumed that the Village Manager or the Harbor Master, if either knew that her brother was her brother, would have sought the waiver required by the code. It was never her duty or obligation to do so.

³ None of the mobile phone users installed ICloud accounts. Consequently, any data erased from the phones were not stored in the Cloud network.

28

- The Ethics Board cannot determine the qualifications or suitability for the job for which the trustee's brother was hired. However, based on the board's review of the six applications submitted to the village for the job, the trustee's brother's qualifications did not appear to be inferior to any of the other applicants, and the reasons provided by the Harbor Master and the HR Director for hiring the trustee's brother, based solely on the requirements of the position, as described, appeared sufficient to support his hiring. While the board finds that the employment of the trustee's brother without gaining a prior waiver violated the Code of Ethics, the board has held that the trustee's brother was not culpable of any wrongdoing, Not granting a post-hiring waiver, while possibly redemptive of the intent of the Code of Ethics, would have been unnecessarily punitive. Consequently, the Ethics Board approved a post-hiring waiver of the nepotism section.
- To date the Ethics Board has expended several thousand dollars for: 1) the cost of stenographic reporters making a record or interviews; 2) forensic technical services for the imaging of email accounts and mobile phone data, and 3) legal fees payable to the lawyers representing village employees who appeared before the board for interviews. If the board now files a formal complaint, the board would incur additional potential costs equal to or greater than that already incurred for the same professional services. Additional cost could include fees involving the issuance and enforcement of subpoenas, and litigation arising from the enforcement of any penalties levied for finding of a violation of the Code of Ethics, if a violation were found and a fine imposed.

March 18, 2025

Daniel E. Karson – Chair Chari Topol Allison Susan Berenzweig Lauren Perone Jones