

PUBLIC COMMENT
HAMPSHIRE COUNTRY CLUB PLANNED RESIDENTAIL DEVELOPMENT
DECEMBER 2017 DEIS -SUBMITTED VIA EMAIL
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
RECEIVED May 10, 2018
THROUGH
May 10, 2018

- 134 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC HERZOG Public Comment
- 135 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC ZINGESSER Public Comment
- 136 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC GORDON Public Comment
- 137 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC McLARNON Public Comment
- 138 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC KATEN Public Comment
- 139 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC A CUTLER Public Comment
- 140 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC BIBLOWITZ Public Comment
- 141 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC S KATEN Public Comment
- 142 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC SIGALOW Public Comment
- 143 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC POPOLI Public Comment
- 144 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC KALT Public Comment
- 145 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC B RUDICH Public Comment
- 146 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC E RUDICH Public Comment
- 147 05 10 2018 Hampshire CC SILVER Public Comment

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Jane E Herzog <jeh2@nyu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 4:01 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: Celia Felsher; Jack Lusk
Subject: Hampshire

Please confirm receipt of this. Thank you.

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members of the Planning Board,

My husband and I attended your meeting last night. During the work session pertaining to the proposed development at Hampshire, Mr. Mendes asked an important question about flooding of neighboring homes during Sandy from water on the golf course.

As residents of Cove Road, we can agree with Mr. Mendes' comment that there is really no longer such thing as a 100 year storm. Indeed, over the 26 years that we have lived in our home, we have lived through multiple storms, both from hurricane level rain as well as from tidal surge.

As you will recall, the major problem during Sandy was tidal surge. There was not an extraordinary amount of rainfall. You have seen the photos of the flooding during that storm which was similar to the Nor'Easter of 1992 when our friend and neighbor, David Fagin was drowned. The golf course was fully under water and was surging like a raging river. The water passed over the golf course at multiple locations. Cove Road was one of those where the water came across and fully flooded Cove Road North and South. All the homes suffered substantial flooding. Please note that the flood water was sea water, not rain water. All residents were in harm's way which included the elderly, children, adults and pets. Evacuation was required and emergency vehicles were not able to get through.

We beg you to reject all plans for development on the golf course. The condo proposal is not a good alternative as it will equally contribute to damage during a storm.

The proposed development will severely exacerbate the flooding. Homes and lives will be in jeopardy as Long Island Sound cannot be held back by some berms. It is foolish and naive thinking to believe that the developer's plan will do anything at all to control flooding.

Respectfully,

Jane Herzog and Jack Lusk
1002 Cove Road

Sent from my iPhone

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Lawrence Zingesser <lzing@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:28 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative. Sincerely,

Lawrence Zingesser, MD
752 Cove Road
Mamaroneck, NY

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: jamie gordon <jbgorienta@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:29 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,
Jamie gordon
628 oriental avenue
Mamk, ny 10543

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Lawrence Zingesser <lzing@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:30 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative. Sincerely,

Mary McLarnon MD
752 Cove Road
Mamaroneck

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: lorraine katen <lorrainekaten@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:40 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: Tom Murphy
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

We are a residents of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,

MR. & MRS. SAM KATEN 626 BROOK ST, VILLAGE OF MAMARONECK

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Adam Cutler <cutleradam@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:42 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: murphy@vomny.org; vafur@vomny.org; Nora Lucas; Leon Potok; Keith Waitt
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,

Adam Cutler
845 Claflin Ave. Mamaroneck

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: LN bib <lnbib50@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:45 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,

[name and address]

Ellen Biblowitz

Sent from my iPhone

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: lorraine katen <lorrainekaten@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:52 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: vafur@vomny.org
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,

lorraine katen 626 brook st mamaroneck ny 10543

sam katen 626 brook st mamaroneck ny 10543

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Ian Sigalow <idsigs@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:56 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be bad for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative.

Sincerely,
Ian Sigalow
886 Orienta Avenue

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Matt Popoli <mattpop4@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 9:21 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: Mayor Tom Murphy; vafur@vomny.org; Nora Lucas; Leon Potok
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be absolutely terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative. I cannot believe that the Village is still entertaining this absurd development.

Sincerely,

Matt Popoli
1045 Seahaven Drive
Mamaroneck

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Steve <irisnsteve@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 9:23 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative. The only responsible alternatives are the Town or Hampshire members buying the Club.

Sincerely,
Steve Kalt
1077 Constable Drive
Mamaroneck, NY

Sent from my iPhone

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Beth Rudich <brudich@jbilibrary.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 9:27 PM
To: Leon Potok; Keith Waitt; Betty-Ann Sherer; Mayor Tom Murphy; Victor Tafur; Nora Lucas
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire DEIS

Dear Neighbors,

I am writing today in opposition to Hampshire Country Club's proposed development. The project is totally inconsistent with the village's comprehensive plan. If this is a critical environmental area, the land should be rezoned as open/recreational space. And if that is not possible this goes against any attempt to reduce density. This will eliminate what little open space is left in the village and produce an onslaught of students that our school system cannot possibly accommodate.

Beth Rudich
400 orienta Avenue

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: Eric Rudich <erudich@blueprinttrial.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 9:47 PM
To: Leon Potok; Keith Waitt; Betty-Ann Sherer; Mayor Tom Murphy; Victor Tafur; Nora Lucas
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire DEIS

Dear Planning Board,

I writing as I hope you reject Hampshire Country Club's proposed development. We live in a beautiful community with wonderful schools and parks. There is absolutely no need to overdevelop our community particularly and build homes in a known flood zone. Such a development will only cause school crowding, increase traffic, and strain resources. The impact on our community during such a large construction project would be enormous bringing thousands of trucks near our schools and by our homes. We are ranked by US News as one of the top 100 cities to live for good reason. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Eric Rudich
400 Orienta Ave.
Mamaroneck, NY

Eric Rudich, Ph.D.
Partner / Senior Litigation Consultant



646-729-3277 - ERudich@Blueprinttrial.com

110 East 30th Street, New York, NY 10016

Blueprinttrial.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail, and any attachments, are confidential and may contain privileged information. This message is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, please be advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please call the sender at 646-729-3277 and delete this message from your system.

Betty-Ann Sherer

From: phil silver <phlup@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 9:57 PM
To: Betty-Ann Sherer
Cc: Mayor Tom Murphy; vafur@vomny.org; Nora Lucas; Leon Potok; Keith Waitt
Subject: Opposition to Hampshire condo development

I am a resident of the Village. I believe that the condominium development presented as an alternative to the proposed housing development would be terrible for the Village and should be rejected as a viable alternative. THIS IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTER WAITING TO HAPPEN.

Sincerely,
[name and address]
Phillip Silver
511 Rushmore Avenue
Sent from my iPad