

2024.2.7 Removing Trees for Public Safety

Oh, sorry to hear that but totally understand. Let me know when you may be able to come. We meet first Wednesdays of the month.

I think I responded to your explanation about removing dangerous trees in my previous email. When it's a matter of public safety, there's no question about removing trees.

Would appreciate more conversation about trees that are located deep on land that is not accessible to the public, also about the value of stumps, roots, and dead wood.

Beverley
James Barney

Beverley Sherrid

.....

Unfortunately, I can not make 730. I have a fire department commitment at 7:30. I just sent you a response to your list of trees as well as the grove street question.

I will try to make the next meeting to address the bird sanctuary or public safety question.

The short of the long of it, if someone points out a dangerous tree, ultimately it has to be taken down. If we ignore it, for whatever reason, whether wildlife advantages or esthetics, and someone gets hurt, the Village would be held negligent. Once our attention was pointed at the sanctuary, our hands were tied, and quite honestly, there is still a tremendous of dead wood that should be removed.

But Free for discussion at a later date.

Have a great evening.

James Barney
General Foreman of Public Works