

**Ad Hoc Tennis Committee Meeting
Monday, January 20, 2020 Minutes
VoM Regatta Conference Room**

Attendees:

- Committee Members: Sally Cantwell, Jeff Carpenter, Manny Enes, Jeff Harwin, Martin Oppenheimer, Rhett Thurman
- (Absent: Barbara Werber)
- Liaison to the Board of Trustees: Kelly Wenstrup
- Recreation Supervisor: Jason Pinto

1. Minutes from the January 6, 2020 meeting were approved.
2. Jason Pinto presented an overview of the 120,000 sq. ft. indoor multi-sport facilities at the Danbury Sports Dome. He, General Park Foreman Barry Casterella, and Village Manager Jerry Barberio had visited the venue to learn about a modern facility that uses space efficiently to accommodate multiple sports.
 - a. The Danbury Sports Dome does not include tennis. Tennis-dedicated facilities in the area offer tennis.
 - b. The interlocking modular sports floor is made from small squares that can be arranged to support sports and non-sport events at various times of the day when they are needed.
 - c. The bubble is up year-round and is air conditioned in the summer.
 - d. The large parking lot is estimated to include 250 spaces.
 - e. The Armonk Sports Center is another multi-sport facility, but which does offers indoor hard-court tennis. It competes locally with Armonk Tennis Club which offers outdoor and indoor clay-court tennis. Jason plans to visit Armonk Sports Center and understand how they support multiple sports including tennis.
3. Jason Pinto reported that the tennis RFPs are in progress to support 2 scenarios: (1) Operation of the courts at their current location with existing equipment and facilities and (2) building a new facility at a TBD location.
 - a. He estimated drafts could be distributed in 2 weeks.
 - b. He estimated 4-8 weeks are needed to get bids after releasing the RFPs. Estimate Includes review period.
4. The committee discussed potential locations for the tennis courts other than Harbor Island.
 - a. No other potential location was identified.
 - b. Taylors Lane has recently been released from containment cap restrictions, but it does not seem feasible to build a Sports Facility there given resident preferences for land use.
 - c. Identification of a new location for tennis facilities would require a study to be performed.
5. Draft Ad-Hoc Tennis Committee recommendations were reviewed.

- a. The committee agreed to the recommendations and made formatting suggestions for the document.
 - b. There will be a follow-up with the missing committee member (Barbara Werber) to confirm her agreement with the recommendations.
 - c. The recommendations are expected to be presented to the VoM Board at the February 10, 2020 meeting.
 - d. The VoM Board working session held before the January 27, 2020 meeting is expected to discuss the proposal to extend the Sportime license to ensure continuous tennis throughout the process.
- 6. The committee discussed how members could stay involved in the process after the recommendations are delivered to the Board.
 - a. Trustee Kelly Wenstrup will mention to the Board that the committee would like to continue to be informed during the RFP process.
 - b. A tennis committee could potentially continue to be involved after the RFP and during the transition period.