

12/6/2021

## Village of Mamaroneck Tree Committee 9 December 2021 Agenda

### Welcome to New Members

Joe Columbo (reappointed)  
Matt Tollefsen

\*Approval of the October 2021 Minutes (*Attachment 1*)

\*Comments from Residents (*Please limit in-person comments to 3 minutes*)

### \*Correspondence

130 Beach regarding planting trees in neighborhoods without canopy, with copies of previous correspondence on the same subject (*Attachment 2*)

130 Beach Urging BOT to cancel Fall Planting & Additional copies of prior correspondence (*Attachment 3*)

130 Beach regarding posting ANSII standards on website (*Attachment 4*)

130 Beach Foil for ANSII Standards (*Attachment 5*)

### \*Old Business

Trees For Maintenance, Removal, or Evaluation -- Please provide street numbers when reporting tree-related issues

121 Saxon Drive branches in wires responsibility of telecom company (*Attachment 6*)

Papes Park pruning request (*Attachment 7*)

Where is Papes Park?

Utility wires – has company been notified?

749 Bleecker regarding possible pruning on tree marked for removal (*Attachment 8*)

VOM Tree Completely Topped (vandalism) (*Attachment 9, 9-a*)

### Tree Law

Planning Board comments (*Attachment 10*)

HCZM – no response

Meeting with Robert Ingenito 12/1/2021 regarding circulating and distilling information about law

Meeting with Rye Neck Action Research students 11/29/2021

- Purpose: How to motivate residents to value and protect trees
- Academic process including research, creating survey, interviewing residents, creating and implementing plan

### Enforcement Action:

- 1523 Henry clear cutting, only 1 tree in original cutting was protected; Building Inspector issued violation #2961 (11/16/2021); violation issued for sidewalk obstruction for debris strewn in gutter, on sidewalks
- 1523 Henry, 12/2/2021 professional removal equipment present on property; Building Inspector went to property (*Attachment 11*)
- 1523 Henry, 12/4/2021 Saturday afternoon take downs (*Attachment 12*)

### New Trees

Fall list – reduced to 36 trees because of ~160% price increase (*Attachment 13*)

Possible additional delay until spring

Mulch rings

Question about planting tall trees under power lines (Gail)

### BROW Plans

Plans for BROW planting in Washingtonville area

Explain BROW

Identify addresses (Fall list included 19 trees to be subbed in as approved)

Request written permission from property owners

### Tree Inventory

Update from Village Manager

### Dog Park and Essential Workers Tribute updates

Will it be possible to purchase trees in Spring 2022 given recent price increases?

Meeting with in HIP Village Manager, Council for the Arts Chairman Marina Kohl, landscaper, and TC co-chairs Monday November 8

- New design concept, semi-circle of oaks, understory planting, open to harbor

### Citizen Pruners

Fall workshop cancelled

Next workshop will be Fall 2022

### \*New Business

Revised list of Recommended Species (*Attachment 14*)

Tree Removals Caused by ConEd Pruning (*Attachment 15*)

Liaison to Planning Board

Block Watchers (*Attachment 16*)

Winter Tree Walk

- Select location
- Select date

\*Other Business (*committee members please add any items not otherwise included in the agenda*)

### \*Calendar Notes

Next meeting January --, 2022, 7:30 pm online

## October 28th 2021 MINUTES DRAFT

### MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE TREE COMMITTEE HELD REMOTELY ON October 28<sup>th</sup> 2021 AT 7:00PM

#### PRESENT:

Nora Lucas, trustee  
Gail Koller, co-chair  
Beverley Sherrid, co-chair  
Wendy Zoland  
Marlene Star  
Ellen Axelrod

1. Call to Order: 7:35PM
2. Approval of September Minutes
3. Comments from Residence – Kathleen DeHain attending. She mentioned that she enjoyed the tree walk. She also mentioned that at Fenimore/Sheldrake near 95 that vegetation is much needed. Growth is needed to help with future flooding. This is where road salt is stored.

#### 4. **Correspondence:**

130 Beach – Congratulations was given for passing of the new Tree Law. A written plan for tree care was requested.

490 Bleeker Public Access Path- Request for removal of dead tree and others entangled in electrical wires. Jerry to investigate if it is a public access area.

#### 5. **Old Business:**

- a. Trees Law was Passed on Tuesday, October 12, 2021– The next steps include the following:
  - i. *Build public awareness on the value of trees*

- ii. *How to comply with the new law*
- iii. *How to enforce the new law (Jerry is working with the Building Dept on details.) The Building Department is making changes in its staff schedules to provide weekend coverage.*
- iv. *FAQs prepared and revised by Beverley.*
- v. *Related information was shared – concerns regarding Washingtonville which was hard hit by Ida. Permission required for property owner to help with flood prone areas, and review fall list of plantings. Gail and Beverley are familiar with the area and realize it will take a few years to make an impact.*
- vi. *Tree committee representation on Planning Board*
- vii. *Discuss concerns regarding large trees near power lines.*
- b. Rye Neck Action Research Student Project – The topic is Community response and resistance to tree preservation. A community survey will be provided and the expected response rate is between 100-200 respondents. Planned to meet on 11/1 to discuss project.
- c. New Trees – The list of new trees and their proposed locations was shared. Awaiting bids.
- d. HIP Cherry Trees – Marlene and Wendy said most are in good shape. Only 7-8 are marked by yellow tape to be taken down.
- e. Mulch Rings – Requested to protect new trees.
- f. Waterways – It was suggested to use oaks to absorb water and create a canopy
- g. Citizen Pruners – Scheduled for November 6<sup>th</sup> from 1-3PM at HIP. Need to communicate it. Flyer was shared at meeting. Rye Neck students will distribute flyers.
- h. The Nature of Oaks – 10 people attended book discussion with on 9/29 led by Beverley. 43 people attended online talk with Q&A with author Douglas Tallamy. The library recorded the program.
- i. Guided Tree Walk – 23 attended the walk on Sunday, October 17<sup>th</sup>. Jocelyn Kleinman, Director of Education at Sheldrake Environmental Center led the walk and did an excellent job.
- j. Rushmore Ave Sycamore – Picture was shared and it was determined this is not a VOM tree.
- k. BROW Trees for Washingtonville – List of proposed plantings and locations provided. VOM will seek owners' permission to plant.

- I. TOM Residents Call for Tree Protections - Article from local Loop mentioned concern for lots under one half acre. Many homes are under one acre.

## 6. **New Business**

- a. Planning Board Meeting – Beverley participated in discussion regarding the new tree law and its implementation on 10/27
- b. Tree Bank – Scarsdale instituted a tree preservation fund after they implemented a tree law. The benefits and details of a fund is being considered by the committee.
- c. Tree Nursery – Gale suggested that we find space to start a nursery to support VOM's canopy. Many details need to be addressed. They include location, budget, types of seeds and tree sizes, and personnel support which will include volunteers. A long-term strategy is needed. Discussions will begin with Jerry.

7. **Calendar Notes** - Tree Committee Celebration of new Tree Law was set for Saturday, October 30<sup>th</sup> at 1PM

8. **Other Business** - Winter Tree Walk was suggested with date to be determined.

9. Next regular meeting Thursday, December 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 7:30PM

10. ADJOURNED 8:39 PM

## Attachment 2

### 130 Beach Ave Regarding Planting Trees in Neighborhoods Without Canopy

#### **Implicit Bias in Tree Planting 2021 - Deja Vu all over again**

**stuart tiekert** Mon, Nov 15, 5:58 AM

to TreeCom, trees@vomny.org, cftc@vomny.org

Dear Chairs and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing about a number of issues about trees that I hope you will address at you next meeting

#### **Fall 2021 Tree Planting List**

- My email from a year ago about the implicit bias in our Tree Planting program is below. This year, of the nearly 130 trees to be planted only 2 are destined for anywhere but predominantly white, middle to upper class neighborhoods. The implicit bias is even more glaring than last year.
- There are eight *Cornus florida* scheduled to be planted. Please note that *Cornus florida* are not on the Village's recommended tree list and in the past have fared poorly as street trees.
- There are 30 *Quercus Alba* scheduled to be planted. - *Quercus Alba* are not on the Village's recommended tree list, *Quercus bicolor* (Swamp White Oak) is. While *Alba* is suitable for parks and wide planting strips where they have a broad root run it doesn't do well in narrow planting strips.
- There are 16 *Prunus Serotina* scheduled to be planted.- *Prunus Serotina* are not on the Village's recommended tree list

#### **Beyond Right of Way Plantings (BROW)**

[Here](#) is an article from New York Urban Forestry Council that toward the end recommends

-

"This leads me to suggest that a key first step would be to provide background and the AOT [Association of Towns] writeup to the attorney of each local municipality interested in planting in the BROW and to get agreement on the legal basis for doing this so that they can advise their official boards.

Then, the process of enacting a local law for BROW will provide a good framework for communicating this information and the rationale for planting in the BROW to the public. Considering the importance of being able to plant trees in the BROW, we should engage the full lawmaking process with broad public participation. Any shortcuts, such as a simple resolution by the local board without public involvement, could lead too easily to misunderstanding."

### **Tree Scholarships**

[Here](#) is a link to an article on the NY State Gift and Loan Clause of the NYS Constitution.

As presented on the Board of Trustees work session agenda it appears that the Tree Scholarship concept would violate the Gift and Loan Clause, especially. Please ask the Village Attorney for a written opinion before too much time is spent on it.

Sincerely,

Stuart Tiekert

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** stuart tiekert <[tiekerts@yahoo.com](mailto:tiekerts@yahoo.com)>

**To:** [trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org) <[trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org)>; TreeCom <[treecom@vomny.org](mailto:treecom@vomny.org)>

**Sent:** Friday, November 6, 2020, 06:01:42 AM EST

**Subject:** Tree Planting

Dear Chairs and and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing after receiving the Tree Planting List dated October 18, 2020, it is attached below.

I have some comments:

There are a number addresses that are not valid according to the Westchester County GIS data base, including all the the Richbell addresses, both Waverly Avenue addresses

The Woodbine location has no overhead wires and should take a shade tree.

If the Waverly Avenue locations are even numbers they have no overhead wires and should take shade trees not ornamentals

Please don't forget that there are still eight shade trees at Mangone's, its two years now, they are not getting any better sitting in their yard.

I am struck by the fact the nearly 40% of trees on this year's list are scheduled to be planted in the two areas of the Village, Shore Acres and Orienta, that over ten years have received a wildly disproportionate amount of trees planted by the Village.

Meanwhile, there are zero trees being planted in the neighborhood around Mamaroneck Avenue School which the Village said the following about in the Tree Committee endorsed TD Green Spaces grant application "the Village is committed to focusing on additional tree planting for low-to-moderate income residents first as those residents tend to live near .....what is considered the Village's heat island."

I walked the neighborhood today and identified no less than fifty prime locations because on Andrew St., Bradford Ave, Gertrude Ave., Derman Ave., Elliot Ave., Ralph Ave. New St., Grand St. and Underhill Ave I could not identify one tree planted by the Village in the last ten years.

If the Village is interested in planting trees equitably in the Village I hope you will consider taking the dozen trees scheduled to be planted for the Beach Point Club and Orienta Beach Club and plant them in one of the underserved neighborhoods in the Village.

Attachments area

**stuart tiekert** Tue, Nov 16, 7:07 AM

to trees@vomny.org, TreeCom

Dear Chairs and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing because after I sent my below email to the TC yesterday morning I needed to go back to the TC webpage to check the Recommended Trees For Planting list and lo and behold the list had changed from the day before.

For those unfamiliar, this was the previous list from 2011 - -

[https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhlf826/f/uploads/vom\\_2011\\_approved\\_street\\_trees.pdf](https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhlf826/f/uploads/vom_2011_approved_street_trees.pdf)

This is the list it was changed to from 4/25/21

- [https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhlf826/f/uploads/recommended\\_trees.pdf](https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhlf826/f/uploads/recommended_trees.pdf).

The 2010 list was unanimously adopted by Resolution on 11/8/10 by the BOT after the " the Village of Mamaroneck Tree Committee prepared and vetted a list of suggested trees for

planting on Village property in parks and along roads; and WHEREAS, the Village of Mamaroneck Tree Committee consulted with Cornell University's Cornell Cooperative Extension and made some adjustments to the list to reflect their comments and input on stress and salt-resistant street trees."

Where did the 4/25/21 list come from?

I have reviewed the TC's minutes from early 2021 and I see nothing to suggest that the TC approved any new list of recommended trees.

I have reviewed the the BOT minutes for the same period and see no indication that they accepted any new list of recommended trees.

If the TC wants to suggest a new list of recommended trees to the BOT for their acceptance that is more than appropriate. I don't believe its appropriate for someone to just create a new list and place it on the website.

Sincerely,

Stuart Tiekert

Attachment 3  
130 Beach Urging BOT to cancel Fall Planting  
& Additional copies of prior correspondence

**Tree Planting Contract**

**stuart tiekert** Nov 22, 2021, 7:04 AM (11 days ago)

to Mayor, trees@vomny.org, TreeCom

Dear Mayor and Board of Trustees,

I am writing to urge the Board to vote 'no' on tonight's agenda item to FURNISH AND INSTALL STREET TREES and instead re-issue an RFP in the late winter and perform a spring planting.

The bids to plant trees are exorbitant, as the memo points out, up to 140% over last years prices. Without being able to review the RFP the reason for this increase is only speculative. I believe that a review of the RFP is in order and a reissuance in the spring will likely return more reasonable pricing.

Additionally, below are two emails to the Tree Committee.

The 11/15/21 email is about the implicit bias in the creation of a planting location list that ignores the desperate need for trees in the traditionally underserved, flood prone neighborhoods in the Village and instead plants 98% of the trees in the Village's overserved, predominantly white, middle to upper class neighborhoods. It also points out that a large percentage of the trees specified do not appear on the Recommended Trees for Planting list approved by the Tree Committee and BOT.

The 11/16/21 email documents that someone went onto the website and changed the approved list of Recommended Trees for Planting to a list that it appears no one approved. This is not only a concern because of the many suitable trees removed from the BOT approved list but also raises concerns about how and by whom information on the website is altered.

Sincerely,

Stuart Tiekert

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** stuart tiekert <[tiekerts@yahoo.com](mailto:tiekerts@yahoo.com)>

**To:** [trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org) <[trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org)>; TreeCom <[treecom@vomny.org](mailto:treecom@vomny.org)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021, 07:07:22 AM EST

**Subject:** Fw: Implicit Bias in Tree Planting 2021 - Deja Vu all over again

Dear Chairs and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing because after I sent my below email to the TC yesterday morning I needed to go back to the TC webpage to check the Recommended Trees For Planting list and lo and behold the list had changed from the day before.

For those unfamiliar, this was the previous list from 2011 - -

[https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhli826/f/uploads/vom\\_2011\\_approved\\_street\\_trees.pdf](https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhli826/f/uploads/vom_2011_approved_street_trees.pdf)

This is the list it was changed to from 4/25/21

- [https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhli826/f/uploads/recommended\\_trees.pdf](https://www.village.mamaroneck.ny.us/sites/g/files/vyhli826/f/uploads/recommended_trees.pdf).

The 2010 list was unanimously adopted by Resolution on 11/8/10 by the BOT after the " the Village of Mamaroneck Tree Committee prepared and vetted a list of suggested trees for planting on Village property in parks and along roads; and WHEREAS, the Village of Mamaroneck Tree Committee consulted with Cornell University's Cornell Cooperative Extension and made some adjustments to the list to reflect their comments and input on stress and salt-resistant street trees."

Where did the 4/25/21 list come from?

I have reviewed the TC's minutes from early 2021 and I see nothing to suggest that the TC approved any new list of recommended trees.

I have reviewed the the BOT minutes for the same period and see no indication that they accepted any new list of recommended trees.

If the TC wants to suggest a new list of recommended trees to the BOT for their acceptance that is more than appropriate. I don't believe its appropriate for someone to just create a new list and place it on the website.

Sincerely,

Stuart Tiekert

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** stuart tiekert <[tiekerts@yahoo.com](mailto:tiekerts@yahoo.com)>

**To:** TreeCom <[treecom@vomny.org](mailto:treecom@vomny.org)>; [trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org) <[trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org)>

**Cc:** [cfe@vomny.org](mailto:cfe@vomny.org) <[cfe@vomny.org](mailto:cfe@vomny.org)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021, 05:58:12 AM EST

**Subject:** Implicit Bias in Tree Planting 2021 - Deja Vu all over again

Dear Chairs and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing about a number of issues about trees that I hope you will address at you next meeting

### **Fall 2021 Tree Planting List**

- My email from a year ago about the implicit bias in our Tree Planting program is below. This year, of the nearly 130 trees to be planted only 2 are destined for anywhere but predominantly white, middle to upper class neighborhoods. The implicit bias is even more glaring than last year.
- There are eight Cornus florida scheduled to be planted. Please note that Cornus florida are not on the Village's recommended tree list and in the past have fared poorly as street trees.
- There are 30 Quercus Alba scheduled to be planted. - Quercus Alba are not on the Village's recommended tree list, Quercus bicolor (Swamp White Oak) is. While Alba is suitable for parks and wide planting strips where they have a broad root run it doesn't do well in narrow planting strips.
- There are 16 Prunus Serotina scheduled to be planted.- Prunus Serotina are not on the Village's recommended tree list

### **Beyond Right of Way Plantings (BROW)**

[Here](#) is an article from New York Urban Forestry Council that toward the end recommends

-

"This leads me to suggest that a key first step would be to provide background and the AOT [Association of Towns] writeup to the attorney of each local municipality interested in planting in the BROW and to get agreement on the legal basis for doing this so that they can advise their official boards.

Then, the process of enacting a local law for BROW will provide a good framework for communicating this information and the rationale for planting in the BROW to the public. Considering the importance of being able to plant trees in the BROW, we should engage the full lawmaking process with broad public participation. Any shortcuts, such as a simple resolution by the local board without public involvement, could lead too easily to misunderstanding."

### **Tree Scholarships**

[Here](#) is a link to an article on the NY State Gift and Loan Clause of the NYS Constitution.

As presented on the Board of Trustees work session agenda it appears that the Tree Scholarship concept would violate the Gift and Loan Clause, especially. Please ask the Village Attorney for a written opinion before too much time is spent on it.

Sincerely,

Stuart Tiekert

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** stuart tiekert <[tiekerts@yahoo.com](mailto:tiekerts@yahoo.com)>

**To:** [trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org) <[trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org)>; TreeCom <[treecom@vomny.org](mailto:treecom@vomny.org)>

**Sent:** Friday, November 6, 2020, 06:01:42 AM EST

**Subject:** Tree Planting

Dear Chairs and and Members of the Tree Committee,

I am writing after receiving the Tree Planting List dated October 18, 2020, it is attached below.

I have some comments:

There are a number addresses that are not valid according to the Westchester County GIS data base, including all the the Richbell addresses, both Waverly Avenue addresses

The Woodbine location has no overhead wires and should take a shade tree.

If the Waverly Avenue locations are even numbers they have no overhead wires and should take shade trees not ornamentals

Please don't forget that there are still eight shade trees at Mangone's, its two years now, they are not getting any better sitting in their yard.

I am struck by the fact the nearly 40% of trees on this year's list are scheduled to be planted in the two areas of the Village, Shore Acres and Orienta, that over ten years have received a wildly disproportionate amount of trees planted by the Village.

Meanwhile, there are zero trees being planted in the neighborhood around Mamaroneck Avenue School which the Village said the following about in the Tree Committee endorsed TD Green Spaces grant application "the Village is committed to focusing on additional tree planting for low-to-moderate income residents first as those residents tend to live near .....what is considered the Village's heat island."

I walked the neighborhood today and identified no less than fifty prime locations because on Andrew St., Bradford Ave, Gertrude Ave., Derman Ave., Elliot Ave., Ralph Ave. New St., Grand St. and Underhill Ave I could not identify one tree planted by the Village in the last ten years.

If the Village is interested in planting trees equitably in the Village I hope you will consider taking the dozen trees scheduled to be planted for the Beach Point Club and Orienta Beach Club and plant them in on of the underserved neighborhoods in the Village.

Attachment 4

130 Beach Regarding FOIL - ANSI 300 Standards

**stuart tiekert** Tue, Nov 23, 7:57 AM (10 days ago)

to augie, FOIL, Mayor, TreeCom, trees@vomny.org

Dear Augie,

The recently passed tree law requires that all planting and pruning of trees be performed to ANSI 300 standards and says that the Village has a copy that can be viewed in the Building Department.

At the last Planning Board meeting a co-chair of the Tree Committee and the Planning Board agreed that the copy of the ANSI 300 standards should be placed on the website so folks would have easier access to them.

I have looked on the website and cannot find them. Please either let me know where they are on the website or consider this a FOIL request for the ANSI 300 standards

Thanks,

Stuart Tiekert

Attachment 5  
130 Beach Foil for ANSII Standards

**FOIL - ANSI 300 Standards**

Inbox

stuart tiekert                      Tue, Nov 23, 7:57 AM (11 days ago)

Dear Augie, The recently passed tree law requires that all planting and pruning of trees be performed to ANSI 300 standards and says that the Village has a copy

**stuart tiekert** 8:11 AM (1 hour ago)

to augie, FOIL, Mayor, TreeCom, trees@vomny.org

Dear Augie,

Please consider this a FOIL request for a copy of the ANSI 300 standards that the Planning Board was informed would be posted to the Village's website.

Thanks,

Stuart Tiekert

Attachment 6  
131 Saxon Drive Overhead Wires

Castro, William

Mon, Nov 8, 11:38 AM

Hi Jerry, I sent this over to our tree group requesting someone take a look. As soon as I hear back I will provide an update. William Castro Specialist Con Edis

**Castro, William <CASTROWI@coned.com>** Wed, Nov 10, 11:17 AM

to Barberio, T, Laura, me, Gail, dl

Good Morning Jerry,

Our tree trimming group went to the location and looked at the tree. The dead tree branch is not in contact with any of the Con Edison wires at the location. It is tangled in telecom wires. The telecom company serving the area would be responsible for removing the tree from their wires.

**Jerry Barberio** Mon, Nov 8, 11:28 AM

to T, William, rizzol@coned.com, me, Gail

Thank you Theresa. From what I can see in the photos regarding 121 Saxon, I believe this is a request to ConEd.

I have included William Castro and Laura Rizzo from the regional ConEd office. They are both very helpful in these matters. Lets see what they say.

Thank you again. JB

**Jerry Barberio**  
*Village Manager*

---

**From:** T P <[tparisi3@ymail.com](mailto:tparisi3@ymail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 8, 2021 11:16 AM

**To:** Jerry Barberio <[jbarberio@vomny.org](mailto:jbarberio@vomny.org)>

**Subject:** 121 Saxon Drive branches in wires

Hi and thank you for attending this matter of the branches from the tree in my Father's front yard and the wires that are entangled with it. I send attachments showing what I see.

Please advise. My Father's name is Benito Scimia and my name is Teresa Parisi and I can be reached at 843-476-0448

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

-Teresa Parisi

Attachment 7  
Papes Park Pruning Request

Hi Nora,

Touching base...Bunch of the local residents in Washingtonville were out this past weekend, 11/6, helping cleaning up the village parks. Fall Day & Green Day sponsored by The Village of Mamaroneck Committee for the Environment. Few of us ended up at Papes Park cleaning up the park. We noticed that the park tree's are in need of maintenance. There are telecom lines running through the branches along the Madison Street side of the park. Can this committee take the action of cutting these branches back off the telecom lines? I added pictures.

Thanks In Advance,

Tim O'Connor





## Attachment 8

749 Bleeker regarding possible pruning on tree marked for removal

**Gail Koller** Wed, Nov 17, 11:43 AM

This very tall tree (not sure of the species) on the ROW on Bleeker is an EXTREMELY IMPORTANT tree. It is a regular spot that ospreys go to to eat their prey. It is in great need of pruning way up high. Can we please prune and save this tree and help the ospreys that are dependent on it?



Attachment 9-a  
VOM tree vandalized



Attachment 10  
Planning Board comments regarding Tree Law  
October 27, 2021 Planning Board meeting

Notes 11/1/21

Most of the comments pertain to conformity –with land use roles and responsibilities, other sections of the Village Code as well as within the Tree Code.

1. Conformity with Planning Board Roles and Responsibilities – in addition to subdivisions and site plans, the Planning Board also approves wetlands permits (Section 192 of the Code). Add wetlands permits to 318-8 D. Amend last sentence in said section to include standards of consideration for wetlands permits found in Section 192-14 E.
2. Conformity with other Sections of the Village Code – Sections 192-5 and 342-78 and A348-20 should be amended to include a Tree Preservation Plan.
3. Internal Conformity
  - a. Section 318-8 B (3) (building inspector issues a permit) contradicts Section 318-8 D regarding the Planning Board jurisdiction. If a permit isn't required for a removal approved by a Planning Board action, B 3 should be stricken. If a permit is required subsequent to Planning Board approval (much like a building permit is required), Section D should be changed.
  - b. Section 318-8 C conflicts with 318-8 K. Add clarifying language in C regarding emergency removals.
4. The Planning Board is interested in a Tree Fund or Bank and provided information from the Village of Scarsdale on how they administer deposits to same.

Also recommended:

- Correction to FAQ #8 regarding correct authority for seeking tree removal permit
- Posting ANSII standards online

Attachment 11  
1523 Henry, Building Inspector Site Visit

**Beverley Sherrid** <[mrssherrid@gmail.com](mailto:mrssherrid@gmail.com)> Sat, Dec 4, 4:33 PM (2 days ago)

On Sat, Dec 4, 2021 at 4:33 PM Beverley Sherrid <[mrssherrid@gmail.com](mailto:mrssherrid@gmail.com)> wrote:  
A large tree was taken down at this address this afternoon, Saturday. Did you determine whether the property owners had a tree removal permit?  
Also, regardless of whether they had a permit, will the replanting requirement be enforced?

Thank you.  
Beverley

On Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 3:37 PM Jerry Barberio <[jbarberio@vomny.org](mailto:jbarberio@vomny.org)> wrote:  
Please respond to Beverley asap.

Thx

**Jerry Barberio**  
*Village Manager*

On Thu, Dec 2, 2021 at 11:27 AM Jerry Barberio <[jbarberio@vomny.org](mailto:jbarberio@vomny.org)> wrote:

Thank you,

Jerry Barberio  
Village Manager  
Village of Mamaroneck

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Dennis Droган <[ddrogan@vomny.org](mailto:ddrogan@vomny.org)>  
**Date:** December 2, 2021 at 9:33:21 AM EST  
**To:** Jerry Barberio <[jbarberio@vomny.org](mailto:jbarberio@vomny.org)>, Gail Koller <[gail.koller18@gmail.com](mailto:gail.koller18@gmail.com)>  
**Cc:** Frank Tavorolacci <[ftavorolacci@vomny.org](mailto:ftavorolacci@vomny.org)>, Charlotte Mountain <[cmountain@vomny.org](mailto:cmountain@vomny.org)>  
**Subject:** RE: 1523 Henry

On the way.

**From:** Jerry Barberio <[jbarberio@vomny.org](mailto:jbarberio@vomny.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 2, 2021 9:13 AM  
**To:** Gail Koller <[gail.koller18@gmail.com](mailto:gail.koller18@gmail.com)>  
**Cc:** Frank Tavalacci <[ftavalacci@vomny.org](mailto:ftavalacci@vomny.org)>; Charlotte Mountain <[cmountain@vomny.org](mailto:cmountain@vomny.org)>;  
Dennis Droган <[ddrogan@vomny.org](mailto:ddrogan@vomny.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: 1523 Henry

No not to my knowledge. Frank is copied to send code enforcement immediately. We don't have a way to share tree permits with the public. Unless the BOT wants to have us share that information, we have not discussed it yet.

Thank you,

Jerry Barberio  
Village Manager  
Village of Mamaroneck

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mary-Elizabeth Schaub** <[mary.elizabeth.schaub@gmail.com](mailto:mary.elizabeth.schaub@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, Dec 2, 2021 at 8:21 AM  
Subject: 1523 Henry  
To: <[trees@vomny.org](mailto:trees@vomny.org)>

Good morning,

Is someone able to advice if the homeowners at 1523 Henry have filed appropriate paperwork to remove the remaining large trees on the property?

There is a bucket truck and tree company here.

If so, is there a way for this information to be shared with neighbors before trees are removed? It would ease many of our minds.

My best

Mary Schaub

Attachment 12  
Weekend Violations of Tree Law

**Jackie Meier** 11:16 AM (3 hours ago)

to me

Hi

More trees are coming down! See attached photo. And the village took in down in our neighborhood yesterday. Somehow the tree law backfired?!

I don't have the heart to tattle in my new neighbors is there a way to report anonymously?

Jackie

**Beverley Sherrid** 2:47 PM (7 minutes ago)

If you call the building department your call will be private

**Beverley Sherrid** 2:49 PM (5 minutes ago)

They now have regular staff working weekends for exactly this possibility If the trees are already down, they can still measure the stumps and determine whether

**Beverley Sherrid** <mrssherrid@gmail.com> 2:50 PM (4 minutes ago)

to Jackie

and assess fine and replanting requirements

Of course we would rather have the mature trees, but it's something

Attachment 13

Fall 2021

19-Nov-21

<u>Number</u>	<u>Street</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Small</u>	<u>Tall</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>Common Name</u>
<b><u>Florence P</u></b>						
307	Frank	inside hedge, possibly BROW		1	platanus acerfolia	london plane
307	Frank	inside hedge, possibly BROW		1	platanus acerfolia	london plane
211	Frank		1		cercis canadensis	redbud
225	Frank		1		cercis canadensis	redbud
207	Frank	inside fence, possibly BROW	1		cornus florida	flowering dogwood
207	Frank	inside fence, possibly BROW	1		prunus sargentii	Sargent cherry
144	Frank	plant near dying tree, which will be removed		1	Prunus serotina	black cherry
130	Wagner			1	platanus acerfolia	London plane tree
124	Wagner			1	Prunus serotina	black cherry
218	Wagner			1	Prunus serotina	black cherry
220	Wagner	requested	1		cercis canadensis	redbud
418	Wagner			1	platanus acerfolia	london plane
220	Jefferson		1		cercis canadensis	redbud

**2021 TOTALS:**

**6 7 13**

**Harbor Heights**

1508	Raleigh Road	<u>BROW charlenefaiella@yahoo.com</u>	1		cornus florida	flowering dogwood
1346	Raleigh Road	<u>kforgit@gmail.com</u>	1		cercis canadensis	redbud
	Warren Ave Park	see map #1		1	quercus alba	white oak
	Warren Ave Park	see map #2		1	Prunus serotina	black cherry
	Warren Ave Park	see map #3		1	quercus alba	white oak
	Warren Ave Park	see map #4		1	quercus alba	white oak
	Warren Ave Park	see map #5		1	quercus alba	white oak

**2021 TOTALS:**

**2 5 7**

**Old Rye Neck South**

311	Prospect Ave	<u>Jennifer Leclair &lt;leclair.jen@gmail.com</u>		1	quercus alba	white oak
702	Prospect Ave	relacement -- requested	1		cercis canadensis	redbud
503	Munro	plant on Heathcote, requested		1	quercus alba	white oak

**2021 TOTALS:**

**1 2 3**

**Lawn Terrace, S. Barry Ave**

**2021 TOTALS:**

**0 0 0**

**Harbor Island Park/Boston Post Road**



sargeant cherry	prunus sargentii	6 \$	799 \$	4,794	3 \$	2,397
flowering crabapple	malus sugartyme	21 \$	750 \$	15,750	0 \$	-
dogwood	cornus florida	10 \$	775 \$	7,750	4 \$	3,100
	<b>Total Small</b>	<b>59</b>			<b>15 \$</b>	<b>11,497</b>
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>101,523</b>	<b>36 \$</b>	<b>28,276</b>

**Attachment 14**  
**Tree Committee -- Recommended Species**

4/25/2021

<b><u>name</u></b>	<b><u>common name</u></b>	<b><u>comments</u></b>
<b><u>Small Trees</u></b>		
cercis canadensis	redbud	
prunus serrulata	Kwanzan cherry	
amelanchier laevis	service berry	
malus sugartyme	flowering crabapple	recommended by Cornell
acer buergeranum	Trident Maple	tolerates soil salt, some aerosol
prunus sargentii	Sargent cherry	
cornus florida	flowering dogwood	shade tolerant
<b><u>Medium/Tall Trees</u></b>		
quercus bicolor	swamp white oak	
platanus acerfolia	London plane tree	non-native; drought, flood, salt tolerant
nyssa sylvatica	black tupelo	
liquiambar styraciflua	honey locust	
acer rubrum	red maple	
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweet gum	flood tolerant, aerosol salt tolerant
quercus alba	white oak	
Tilia americana	linden	not salt tolerant; needs space
quercus rubra	northern red oak	
Prunus serotina	black cherry	native, not invasive in US
carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	shade tolerant; persistent fruit, 35' - 50'
<b><u>Do not use again</u></b>		
cornus kousa	Kousa Dogwood	multi-stem; need heavy pruning
Ulmus Americana	Valley Forge Elm	

Attachment 15  
Tree Removals Caused by ConEd Pruning

## Two apparently healthy trees removed

Marlene Star Dec 3, 2021, 10:38 PM (10 hours ago)

They were taken down on Prospect between Heathcote and Fenimore. Did the VOM remove these trees?

Beverley Sherrid Dec 3, 2021, 11:10 PM (10 hours ago)

I don't know. Copying Jerry. Were they in the ROW? Do you know the addresses?

**Jerry Barberio** Dec 3, 2021, 11:13 PM (10 hours ago)

to me, Marlene

Both Village ROW. One tree had structural issues due to ConEd pruning from several years ago and the another was dead.

I looked at it with DPW acting foreman a few weeks ago. The Monday before thanksgiving I believe.

Thank you,

Jerry Barberio  
Village Manager  
Village of Mamaroneck

**Beverley Sherrid** 9:21 AM (0 minutes ago)

to Jerry, Marlene

We should keep track of trees that need to come down because of brutal ConEd "pruning". It usually takes a few years for the damage to take effect, but their techniques of shearing off all the branches on one side, topping a tree, etc have got to be inflicting serious long term damage.

Attachment 16  
Block Watchers Recommendation

**Nora Lucas** Wed, Nov 17, 12:02 PM

to Gail, Thomas, TreeCom, Jerry, Tony

This may be a wild idea, but the Friends of the Upper East Side Historic District has a block watching program. Block watchers commit to a regular walk of their turf and let NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission know when work is going on without an LPC permit posted.

I wonder if Tree Committee could make its mission better known by enlisting residents to regularly walk their "turf" and let someone know if a tree looks unhealthy, or has been damaged or removed without a visible permit?